

RESIDENT / HUMANITARIAN COORDINATOR REPORT ON THE USE OF CERF FUNDS LEBANON RAPID RESPONSE CONFLICT-RELATED DISPLACEMENT

REPORTING PROCESS AND CONSULTATION SUMMARY

a.	Please indicate when the After Action Review (AAR) was conducted and who participated. Agencies were individually consulted regarding the CERF allocations during the periods of implementation and afterwards to ensure projects were implemented as planned, this was in place of a formal after action review.
b.	Please confirm that the Resident Coordinator and/or Humanitarian Coordinator (RC/HC) Report was discussed in the Humanitarian and/or UN Country Team and by cluster/sector coordinators as outlined in the guidelines. YES NO The draft report was sent to members of the HCT for comment.
C.	Was the final version of the RC/HC Report shared for review with in-country stakeholders as recommended in the guidelines (i.e. the CERF recipient agencies and their implementing partners, cluster/sector coordinators and members and relevant government counterparts)? YES NO The draft report was shared with all participating partners for comment.

I. HUMANITARIAN CONTEXT

TABLE 1: EMERGENCY ALLOCATION OVERVIEW (US\$)					
Total amount required for the hi	Total amount required for the humanitarian response: 1,216,189,393 USD RRP5				
	Source	Amount			
	CERF	2,012,137			
Breakdown of total response funding received by source	COMMON HUMANITARIAN FUND/ EMERGENCY RESPONSE FUND (if applicable)	0			
Tananag 1999.194 by Gouleo	OTHER (bilateral/multilateral)	625,877,487			
	TOTAL	627,889,624			

TABLE 2: CERF EMERGENCY FUNDING BY ALLOCATION AND PROJECT (US\$)					
Allocation 1 – date of off	Allocation 1 – date of official submission: 20 December 2012				
Agency	Project code	Cluster/Sector	Amount		
UNRWA	13-RWA-002	Multi-sector	1,000,000		
Sub-total CERF Allocation			1,000,000		
Allocation 2 – date of off	ficial submission: 10 Ja	nuary 2013			
UNICEF	13-CEF-011	Water and sanitation	250,115		
FAO	13-FAO-005	Agriculture	105,609		
UNFPA	13-FPA-002	Health	102,533		
IOM	13-IOM-002	NFIs	101,564		
WFP	13-WFP-003	Food	301,205		
WHO	13-WHO-004	Health	151,111		
Sub-total CERF allocation			1,012,137		
TOTAL			2,012,137		

TABLE 3: BREAKDOWN OF CERF FUNDS BY TYPE OF IMPLEMENTATION MODALITY (US\$)		
Type of implementation modality Amount		
Direct UN agencies/IOM implementation	1,990.207	
Funds forwarded to NGOs for implementation	21,930	
Funds forwarded to government partners	0	
TOTAL	2,012,137	

HUMANITARIAN NEEDS

The CERF request being reported upon covered assistance to host communities, Lebanese returnees and Palestine Refugees from Syria (PRS), as well as Syrian refugees in Lebanon.

At the time of the request there were an estimated 178,000 Syrian refugees in Lebanon, registered or waiting to be registered. As the Government of Lebanon has not pursued a policy of refugee camps, the majority of refugees was and still are living amongst host communities, many of whom with host families and others renting separate accommodation. This led to a growing crisis among Lebanese host and border communities trying to cope with the additional strain in an already harsh socio-economic environment. Many refugees are living in the border regions of the north which are among the poorest regions in Lebanon, and the Bekaa Valley, rural and traditionally poor. Local infrastructure and capacity to provide for life-saving basic service delivery was already stretched in these areas prior to the crisis and was significantly overburdened by the sharp increase in demand. Furthermore, local authorities were ill-equipped to mediate the increase in competing demands between populations. The ongoing and increasing influx of refugees led to frustration among some Lebanese communities who felt neglected and marginalised in the midst of a robust humanitarian response to support refugees. Humanitarian actors expressed concern that the lack of a rapid increase in support to Lebanese communities and efforts to reduce tension could lead to conflict, jeopardizing the larger humanitarian effort and adversely affecting the lives of refugees and Lebanese alike.

The north of Lebanon houses 46 percent of the extremely poor Lebanese population and 38 percent of the overall poor Lebanese population. The influx in the north of Lebanon worsened the already difficult socioeconomic conditions of the local population, high rate of unemployment, urban poverty and child labour. Urgent water issues such as the economic burden for water trucking, water storage and sewage disposal were raising tension among the host communities. The augmented population increased the pressure on the water and sanitation facilities of households already unable to pay for safe water in sufficient volume, further worsening the humanitarian situation of refugee and host populations. There was also a need for quick impact projects that could contribute towards improving both the rural livelihood opportunities and food security. The influx of Syrian refugees placed additional strain on the already limited health resources. The influx of refugees almost double the utilization of the PHC centers which greatly affected access of Lebanese host communities to health services and medications in particular, threatening the capacity of the MOPH to respond appropriately to the needs at PHC level. The shortages in medications and vaccines were more of concern in areas with high Syrian refugee concentrations in Tripoli, Akkar, Bekaa and the new areas to which refugees are expanding such as Zghorta, Batroun, Koura. Throughout 2012 it was also evident that the demand for reproductive health supplies had augmented as a result of the increased consumption by refugees and thus the need to replenish the emergency stock which had been used as a result of the emergency context. Those findings were further validated by the outcome of a Rapid Assessment on the Impact of Syrian Crisis on Socio-Economic Situation in the North and the Bekaa supported by UNDP (May-June 2012). The study highlighted decreased access to health care by Lebanese communities.

In addition to the needs of the host communities, at the time of the CERF request, there were an estimated 25,000 Lebanese returnees from Syria, many of whom required assistance, however, as they are not Syrian, they could not access the assistance offered to Syria refugees. With time, their initial resources were depleted and overall living conditions deteriorating. The Lebanese returnees had mostly been living in Syria for decades and over several generations. Returning to Lebanon as a result of the conflict, they found themselves in circumstances that reflected those of the refugees; most came without their belongings, had no regular source of income and were concentrated in poor areas of the North and Bekaa governorates that were already overburdened by the presence of large numbers of Syrian refugees. An IOM rapid assessment in August 2012 showed that more than 70% of the Lebanese returnees come from Homs Governorate and arrived through the north of Lebanon (Akkar governorate) and the Bekaa Valley. Some of the returned Lebanese families were seen to be using negative coping mechanisms such as reducing the size of the meal, reducing the number of meals, opting for cheaper and lower quality commodities, credit, sharing with host families, as well as relying on local charity. Without assistance, they may have had to adopt further negative coping mechanisms such as very high credit levels, begging etc. Hence, WFP and IOM signed a MoU with the High Relief Commission, with the aim of assisting Lebanese returnees with, amongst other items, food vouchers similar to those given to Syrian refugees, and NFIs. Agricultural interventions and shelter support were also provided to the Lebanese returnees.

UNRWA Lebanon experienced a significant increase in the number of Palestine refugees crossing its borders seeking safe haven from the fighting in Syria in December 2012. The number of Palestine refugees normally resident in Syria seeking refuge in Lebanon increased from a handful in the period up to June 2012 to more than 10,000 by the start of December 2012. This number drastically increased from 16 December 2012 following increased fighting and attacks in the Yarmouk area of Damascus in Syria and by mid-December over 13,000 Palestine refugees had fled Syria to Lebanon. UNRWA in Lebanon was struggling to help meet the needs of a refugee population with limited rights and severely curtailed access to public services and job opportunities. The arrival of additional refugees multiplied the burden on both Palestinian communities and UNRWA services, and therefore additional resources were required. The main needs of Palestine refugees arriving from Syria were non-food items such as bedding, hygiene kits, and kitchen kits; food assistance; cash for shelter assistance; winterization assistance; health assistance; access to education; and protection. UNRWA provides health assistance and access to education to Palestine refugees fleeing Syria as it does for Palestine refugees normally

resident in Lebanon but had been unable to provide adequate cash for shelter, food and NFI assistance due to lack of funding. Pressure from the refugee community for additional assistance was intense and protection concerns were growing, and hence the application for CERF funding.

II. FOCUS AREAS AND PRIORITIZATION

For UNICEF, as the affected population is scattered throughout the country the WASH response has been expensive, time-consuming, logistically challenging and difficult to coordinate. The increase in tented settlements, where both refugees and seasonal workers reside, has been a particular challenge to which to respond. Many sites have lacked adequate sanitation and water, and have been prone to flooding. Populations are increasing rapidly, poor hygienic conditions are commonplace and there is a high risk of water-borne disease. Since 2012, there have been a total of four WASH assessments and 12 multi-sectoral assessments conducted in different parts of the country, mainly focusing on North Lebanon and Bekaa. Initial assessments highlighted the need for WASH support at the household level in terms of upgrading sanitation facilities, supporting water treatment and storage and providing hygiene items for the host community as well as refugees. In some communities refugees outnumber the local population. Tensions at the community level have increased as WASH resources have been stretched and local authorities have needed assistance to deal with the influx of refugees. In that context, there has been a need to improve overall WASH conditions at informal tented settlements (ITS), collective shelters and unfinished buildings and support existing communal WASH infrastructure such as water systems, sewerage systems and solid waste collection and disposal. Assessments highlighted that about one-third of the Syrian refugees requires water and sanitation assistance. This is in line with findings from a vulnerability assessment conducted by WFP, UNHCR and UNICEF to inform targeting. The assessment found that 30 per cent of households reported not having access to sufficient water for domestic purposes, 40 per cent did not have access to adequate latrines and 15 per cent lacked access to hygiene items.

It was planned that CERF funding for this project would provide 5,950 refugees and the host community in North Lebanon with safe and equitable access to a sufficient quantity of water for drinking, cooking and personal hygiene through: distribution of ceramic water filters; installation of water storage tanks; distribution of water vouchers; construction/upgrading of sanitation and washing facilities; establishing a septic tank desludging voucher system; distribution of family hygiene kits; hygiene promotion awareness sessions and; improving WASH facilities in schools.

Funds were allocated to provide WASH supplies to enable a rapid and flexible response as needs arose. Under the Regional Response Plan January to December 2013 (RRP5), UNICEF has committed to holding contingency WASH equipment for 50,000 people.

The WHO proposal consisted of procuring and distributing needed vaccines and medications to the PHC centres with shortages, and provided training to health workers on proper clinical management of the selected most common medical conditions encountered at PHC level.

For UNFPA, this project came in response to the reported increasing needs from both the local host community and the pressure on Service Delivery Points, being both Primary Health Care centres (PHC) and Social Development Centers (SDC) to provide additional Reproductive Health (RH) services in areas where demand had increased, mainly North Lebanon, Bekaa and South Lebanon. The project mainly focused on providing RH kits and RH drugs/pharmaceuticals to replenish the stocks of the PHC/SDCs which have been used as a result of the emergency. The project managed to cover a total of 14 health centres, mobile clinics, as well as 27 PHCs across Lebanon selected based on UNFPA's on-going assessment of the needs and monitoring of existing RH kits and pharmaceutical stocks. Consumption monitoring conducted by UNFPA to the partners recipients of the RH kits indicated the following consumption rates: 62% for male condoms, 72% for sexually transmitted infections, and 88% for oral, injectable, and emergency contraceptives. The project also managed to reach 3,037 women in awareness raising activities on RH (kindly refer to output results indicated in UNFPA section below).

WFP activities were planned for all areas of Lebanon where Lebanese returnees were present. However, the money was returned to CERF unspent after WFP was unable to agree intervention modalities on a timely basis with the various stakeholders (WFP/IOM/Government of Lebanon) on the provision of assistance to Lebanese returnees.

FAO's objectives were to contribute to the humanitarian efforts aimed at meeting the most urgent unmet basic socio-economic needs of Lebanese returnees and Syrian refugees who have fled into Lebanon from Syria and the host communities in the north of Lebanon and other border villages, and reduce the socio-economic burden of displacement on both communities, as well as to save the livestock of Lebanese returnees and the host communities from a food crisis, and to maintain livestock productivities for household food security. Field surveys were conducted to identify Lebanese returnees and Syrian refugees that were residing in the border villages of Akkar, the North, Baalback and Hermel. More than 1000 case studies were conducted on Lebanese host communities who were small-scale dairy

farmers-come-producers and accommodating Lebanese returnees or Syrian refugees. Urgent humanitarian needs to prevent the deterioration of livelihood and improve the living conditions of refugees, returnees and hosting communities in Lebanon were identified.

The rationale of IOM's intervention to assist vulnerable Lebanese returnees was based first of all on a rapid assessment conducted in May-June 2012, after which IOM continued to trace and profile the returnee population through a series of field visits and assessments. The initial rapid assessment revealed that many Lebanese returnees were living in bad or critical conditions (75% without any income; majority arriving without property; 90% reporting need for humanitarian support in terms of food and non-food items). In December 2012, IOM estimated the number of returnees to be 24,000 (9,153 in North Lebanon; 8,180 in the Bekaa; 1,867 in Beirut; and 4,800 in South Lebanon). Due to a concentration of previous interventions (by both IOM and other partners) in the North and a lack of coverage in other areas, it was decided that the CERF funded activities would focus on returnee populations in the Bekaa and South, addressing unmet needs in terms of NFIs (shelter support items).

For UNRWA, the rationale for prioritization was the large influx of Palestine refugees from Syria (PRS) following increased fighting in Yarmouk Area of Damascus, Syria in mid-December 2012. The most effective means of providing emergency assistance to these newly arrived and to those PRS who have been in Lebanon for the previous weeks and months but who had yet to receive assistance was to provide cash assistance whereby the PRS could respond to their most urgent needs as they saw fit. Emergency health assistance was also included in the project as the increased numbers of PRS would have placed an extra strain on UNRWA Health Centres. The project covered all areas of Lebanon as PRS were, and remain, residing in and out of camps throughout the country.

III. CERF PROCESS

The processes and consultations behind the prioritisation of CERF were conducted through and within the Humanitarian Country Team HCT and relevant sector working groups of the RRP as well as The Host Community Task Force. Local communities and officials were also consulted, and these consultations are an integral part of the humanitarian response in Lebanon.

UNICEF: The prioritisation of CERF funds for UNICEF WASH supplies occurred in response to the lack of access to safe drinking and domestic water and very poor hygiene conditions prevalent amongst refugee and host communities especially in the Bekaa and Northern Lebanon. Furthermore, UNICEF completed a vulnerability mapping exercise with the Information Management Unit of the Prime Minister's Office. Using the latest Lebanese poverty data and UNHCR registration information associated with registered Syrian refugees, Lebanon's 182 most vulnerable localities were identified, out of almost 1,600 localities nationwide. These localities host 85 per cent of the registered refugee population and more than 68 per cent of vulnerable Lebanese. It is in these 182 localities that UNICEF has focused its interventions.

WHO: Based on the analysis of utilization of health services (data obtained from UNHCR/ IMC from the PHC centres January-May 2012) and assessments done (rapid participatory appraisals by partner NGOs in Dec 2011- March 2012), vaccines and medications for primary health care including for acute and chronic diseases were clearly needed. A request to fill a gap for 200 Tb cases and some 10 cases with Multi drug resistant Tb was already made by the MOPH.

UNFPA: The process adopted for identifying the required commodities was based on internal consultation regarding consumption patterns, targeted population and estimated needs. Consultation with partners was also carried out to assess and guarantee their capacity for delivering the commodities and services.

FAO: The processes and consultations behind the prioritisation of CERF were conducted through the HCT and FAO was an integral part of this process and highlighted the urgent needs of the host communities that had been assessed to need quick intervention to maintain livelihoods. The interventions were also in line with the Task Force on Host communities Task Force and the Regional Response Plan (RRP).

IOM: IOM designed its intervention in coordination with all relevant partners (UN agencies, local municipalities, community leaders and other actors) and in accordance with the priorities set by the NFI/Distribution working group, which at the time included winterization and shelter support items.

UNRWA: This CERF grant request was developed in coordination with the Humanitarian Coordinator and the Humanitarian Country Team. Emergency cash and health assistance was provided to all PRS with cash assistance being provided to each individual to ensure women, girls, boys, and men were provided assistance equally and health assistance was based on need with beneficiaries receiving medications from UNRWA Health Centres.

IV. CERF RESULTS AND ADDED VALUE

TABLE 4: AFFECTED INDIVIDUALS AND REACHED DIRECT BENEFICIARIES BY SECTOR					
Total number of individua	Total number of individuals affected by the crisis: 1,000,000 refugees plus host community				
	Cluster/Sector	Female	Male	Total	
The estimated total	Multi-sector	18,100	17,247	35,347	
The estimated total number of individuals directly supported	Water and sanitation	8,622	7,958	16,580	
through CERF funding by cluster/sector	Agriculture	1,460	1936	3,396	
by cluster/sector	Health	111,292	36,500	147,792	
	Food	*0	0	0	

Note: CERF funding returned *

BENEFICIARY ESTIMATION

CERF funding covered several sectors and in different geographical locations and thus double counting within sectors is unlikely however there can be overlap between the sectors as it is possible that an individual refugee benefitted from several services.

UNICEF: The CERF funding was utilized to provide 3,316 water filters for approximately 16,580 refugees and host community members living mainly in the Bekaa and North Lebanon. One water filter services a household comprising five individuals, reaching a total of 16,580. The disaggregation by gender and age of beneficiaries reached was calculated based upon the proportion of Syrian refugee males, females and children under 5 registered by UNHCR in North Lebanon and the Bekaa at the time of preparing this report (end July 2013).

WHO: Based on the pattern of services provided at PHC (from records available at MOPH records), it was estimated that around 20% of all primary health care would be provided to children and hence the need to secure vaccines. Around 65% to women and 1-2 % of the population would require special medications.

UNFPA: For UNFPA projects estimates of beneficiaries were based on actual direct implementation of some activities such as the awareness raising, and beneficiaries of the information leaflets and the awareness raising material distributed directly as part of the project. The same calculation was applied for the RH pharmaceuticals/drugs (based on reporting as well as average intake by women for each medication).

IOM: Beneficiary estimates were acquired by referring to the number of households reached (as per internal monitoring and reporting), as well as the demographic statistics revealed through the recently concluded Lebanese returnee registration and profiling project.

UNRWA: Through the CERF project, UNRWA provided direct assistance to an estimated 28,571 PRS individuals. Through the cash assistance component, CERF funding assisted a total of 17,765 PRS individuals with a once-off contribution of \$40. CERF funding assisted in the provision of food vouchers to 4,006 out of a total of 17,762 PRS individuals that received food vouchers. These figures were calculated through sign-off sheets from each beneficiary who received assistance and were reconciled with UNRWA's financial system. The project also assisted an estimated 6,800 PRS individuals with medications in UNRWA Health Centres. This figure was calculated based on the average cost per beneficiary in UNRWA Health Centres is approximately \$20 over a six-month period.

TABLE 5: PLANNED AND REACHED DIRECT BENEFICIARIES THROUGH CERF FUNDING			
	Planned Estimated Reached		
Female	76,000	93,182	
Male	44,000	62,141	
Total individuals (Female and male)	120,000	155,323	
Of total, children under age 5	11,691	20,340	

CERF RESULTS

UNICEF: It was expected that CERF funding for this project would provide 5,950 refugees and the host community in North Lebanon with safe and equitable access to a sufficient quantity of water for drinking, cooking and personal hygiene through: distribution of ceramic water filters; installation of water storage tanks; distribution of water vouchers; construction/upgrading of sanitation and washing facilities; establishing a septic tank de-sludging voucher system; distribution of family hygiene kits; hygiene promotion awareness sessions and; improving WASH facilities in schools.

Funds were allocated to provide WASH supplies to enable a rapid and flexible response as needs arose. Under the Regional Response Plan January to December 2013 (RRP5), UNICEF has committed to holding contingency WASH equipment for 50,000 people.

The CERF funding was utilized to provide 3,316 water filters for approximately 16,580 Syrian refugees and host community members in Lebanon. The importance of these supplies became apparent in July 2013, when UNICEF WASH staff discovered a community of more than 200 Syrian refugees living in a previously unknown tented settlement. Diarrheal diseases were prevalent among the community due to the poor quality of their water source and poor hygiene conditions, with three children hospitalised in June and July. UNICEF immediately prioritised response to this settlement, and alongside other interventions, was able to fast-track the provision of water filters from contingency stock.

WHO: The CERF funds were able to ensure that medications and vaccines were available to the most vulnerable beneficiaries. Accordingly, medications were readily available in PHC centers to provide services to refugees and the host community. Additionally WHO was able to provide vaccines and medications and distributed them to PHC centres located in areas with a high concentration of Syrian refugees. The quality of health care was also improved and training and capacity building was enabled. In addition, the training was coupled with production of information, education and communications material that were used in awareness-raising and distributed to patients attending PHCs. Moreover, the project funds allowed the recruitment of a field coordination officer for 6 months.

UNFPA: A total of 47,792 women and men were reached under this project which exceeded the planned target figure. This variance between the planned and reached figures is an indication of the actual needs on the ground. Services were improved and RH supplies were made available to a larger number of PHCs and SDCs than planned. The supplies and services were provided across Lebanon and specifically to Lebanese host communities with a high concentration of Syrian refugees.

FAO: Direct project beneficiaries including Lebanese returnees and host community members constitute 566 households (average household has 6 individuals of which one is under five), i.e. about 3396 individuals. Five new milk collection centres were provided with cooling tanks and accessories in Akkar, Donnieh and Baalbak. A total of 200 stainless steel milk cans distributed to 200 farmers in Akkar, Bekaa and Donnieh & Minnieh. Twenty-five mini-dairy processing units were distributed to 25 female-headed farmers in Donnieh and are current producing 70 - 140 kg dairy products per day depending on the number of collections per day. Forty milking machines with detergents were provided to forty female heads households.

IOM: The first phase of the project involved the mapping of specific needs of Lebanese returnee families in the Bekaa and South of Lebanon, conducted through a series of field visits and rapid assessments. The assessments identified a need for winterization items – as anticipated in the prioritization of the sector working group – particularly blankets and weatherproofing items. Winterization and shelter support items procured and assembled into kits that included three blankets, one large tarpaulin sheet and sieve nets. The kits were distributed to around 932 households in the Bekaa region, and roughly 300 families in the South. As a result of the interventions it is estimated that roughly 6,776 individuals (approximately 50%male / 50%female) benefited from improved living conditions in the difficult

winter months. The number of households reached, at least 1,232, was slightly less than the target of 1,250 households. The fact that distributions were often carried out through coordination with local community leaders may account for the small difference in number of households reached, due to some of these local partners distributing more than one kit to large households

UNRWA: The key outcomes from the CERF funding were that all PRS in-country at the end of December 2013 received a first-round of cash assistance of \$40 per person to a total of 17,765 individuals. This helped to provide PRS already in-country with extra support to face the winter season and other requirements as needed. It also provided immediate assistance to the many newly arriving PRS who had fled Yarmouk area as fighting intensified in this predominantly Palestinian area of Damascus and who had arrived in Lebanon with little or no belongings. The CERF funds also helped provide \$24 food vouchers to over 4,000 PRS individuals to help meet their food needs. PRS health needs were also assisted with medications support provided to approximately 6,800 individuals throughout UNRWA's 27 Health Centres located across Lebanon. UNRWA achieved its overall targets and provided additional support than expected under the cash component as some of the funds were provided for food vouchers following approval from CERF. The overall impact was that all PRS in Lebanon were assisted before the end of 2012, with immediate assistance that allowed them to support their most urgent needs as they saw fit in a dignified manner.

CERF's ADDED VALUE

a)	Did CERF funds lead to a fast delivery of assistance to beneficiaries? YES ☑ PARTIALLY ☐ NO ☐
	UNICEF: As CERF funding was available for six months, it was able to be allocated effectively to provide fast delivery of assistance to Syrian refugees and host communities in Lebanon.
	WHO: The funds were available shortly after the CERF proposal was approved. The preparations for the procurement of medications and the training were initiated before the CERF approval. Once the funds were made available, the implementation proceeded.
	UNFPA: The CERF funds were utilized to ensure a rapid response in the field. The reproductive health (RH) awareness campaign along with the procurement of goods started once the funds were received. The network of partners UNFPA has maintained and expanded since the first response in early 2012 facilitated the quick action.
	FAO: CERF funding allowed a fast delivery of assistance as the dairy programme was already established through the FAO's LRF-funded dairy project. CERF's contribution allowed a much needed extension of the programme.
	IOM: CERF funds directly contributed to the rapid procurement and delivery of winterization kits to vulnerable Lebanese returnee households, at a time when only limited funds had been devoted to this caseload.
	UNRWA: UNRWA submitted its funding request on 20 December and received an approval letter on 21 December 2013. This allowed UNRWA, following confirmation from CERF, to start implementation immediately and the cash distribution was undertaken from 27-30 December 2012 to provide assistance to all PRS accounted for in Lebanon. Health assistance through medications took slightly longer due to time factors involved in purchasing medications.
b)	Did CERF funds help respond to time critical needs¹? YES ☑ PARTIALLY ☑ NO ☑
	UNICEF: The CERF funds have been vital in responding to the time critical needs of beneficiaries, as water filters held in contingency stock can be deployed immediately when urgent needs are identified, especially in areas with high concentrations of refugees and vulnerable Lebanese. For example, this was a life-saving intervention in the Minieh tented settlement, as children had been hospitalized with diarrheal diseases due to the poor quality of water available.
	WHO. The CERE allowed the timely procurement of vaccines and TB medications, which was an urgent need given the sharp rise

in Syrian refugees during the reporting period. Vaccination was a critical issue, noting that the MOPH stocks were depleted and the

¹ Time-critical response refers to necessary, rapid and time-limited actions and resources required to minimize additional loss of lives and damage to social and economic assets (e.g. emergency vaccination campaigns, locust control, etc.).

need to replenish was high. The first half of 2013 also witnessed an increased number of Syrian refugees with TB. The production of relevant health information material was also beneficial, especially as it coincided with the outbreak of measles, and scabies and lice outbreaks in some refugee communities.

UNFPA: The CERF funds came at the same time as the Government of Lebanon (GoL) endorsed the Syria Response Plan earlier in January 2013, in which was expressed the need to support government facilities which were under pressure as a result of the high refugee numbers, thus affecting service delivery to Lebanese host communities. The project supported the 27 SDCs designated by the GoL to be in most need of support taken the increased number of Syrian refugees in their areas.

FAO: The CERF funds contributed to improving dairy farmers' livelihoods. It also increased milk and dairy production through organizing milk collection centres along with small-scale dairy processing facilities. In addition, it improved the nutritional value of milk and dairy products by replacing plastic jars with stainless steel, milk cooling facilities and training in proper milk and dairy processing.

IOM: The CERF project began in January, and thus allowed vulnerable Lebanese returnee households that had been unassisted to weatherproof their shelters and better endure the cold winter months.

UNRWA: The CERF funds did respond to time critical needs as approximately 700 PRS were arriving in Lebanon on a daily basis from 16 December 2013 onwards for several weeks, often with little or no belongings and in need of urgent assistance, especially given the winter conditions in the Bekaa valley where PRS were arriving from Syria. Without the CERF funds, the newly-arrived PRS would have been left without assistance as UNRWA did not have sufficient funds to cover their needs.

c)	Did CERF funds help improve resource mobilization from other sources?
	YES M PARTIALLY MO M

UNICEF: The CERF allocation covered some of the WASH needs of beneficiaries. UNICEF was also able to mobilize funding from other sources to fund the complete package of WASH interventions, however, UNICEF will not be able to respond to the needs of all future Syrian refugees and host communities with the current level of funding.

The WASH interventions in informal tented settlements have been complemented by free, direct primary healthcare, provided by mobile medical teams that are supported by UNICEF.

WHO: The activities initiated under CERF were considered as an illustration for the larger needs that emerged, especially in terms of outbreak control and improvement of the PHC system capacity to cope with the caseload. It also helped demonstrate that capacity building activities are equally important to ensure quality of care. WHO was able to obtain subsequently additional funds from WHO emergency standby funds, as well as from other donors.

UNFPA: The CERF funding under this project were fully utilized to cater for the needs of host communities in the form of RH services and information. The results of these interventions were utilized to validate the need for further addressing the needs of host communities in addition to Syrian refugees.

FAO: The CERF funding raised the profile of the project and led to subsequent resource mobilisation efforts, for example through DFID and CIDA to support the farmers.

IOM: Since January 2013, IOM has received funds from the governments of Canada, Germany, Japan, Kuwait, Italy and the United States to support activities both in the provision of NFIs and other sectors (including shelter, psychosocial support and health) that benefit the growing Lebanese returnee population. In particular, an ERF funded project was launched in June 2013 to register and profile returnees, providing a better understanding of the situation and needs of this often under-assisted caseload, and allowing for better targeted interventions in the future.

UNRWA: The funds from CERF partially assisted in resource mobilization as it showed the donor community that UNRWA was able to provide efficient and effective assistance to the PRS population once sufficient funds were received.

٩١	Did CEDE improve accordingtion amongst the humanitarian community?
u)	Did CERF improve coordination amongst the humanitarian community?
	YES ⊠ PARTIALLY □ NO □

UNICEF: The CERF funding allowed the provision of essential equipment as part of the wider response provided by humanitarian

actors in Lebanon. In particular, health interventions in tented settlements must be accompanied by access to water for drinking, cooking and hygiene purposes. One example of this was UNICEF's response to the spread of lice and scabies amongst refugees and host communities. The humanitarian community needed a coordinated response across different agencies, as the medical response required access to clean water for applying lice shampoo and enabled refugees to attend to personal hygiene including disinfecting clothing and bedding.

WHO: The coordination improved due to the fact that the CERF allowed the recruitment of a full time Field Coordinator.

UNFPA: The project made it feasible to enhance already existing coordination among the humanitarian agencies responding in the same area of intervention as UNFPA. More coordination with the Ministry of Health, Ministry of Social Affairs, and UNICEF ensured a better response and better targeting of the areas which were mostly in need of the service. Also, working with partners expedited the delivery process and made it possible for this project to achieve its goals.

FAO: The coordination element was not directly foreseen in the project document as this is a separate stand-alone activity which did not require coordination among other humanitarian agencies. However, information available from IOM and UNHCR was used to target poverty pockets.

UNRWA: For this project, CERF funds did not help improve coordination amongst the humanitarian community as UNRWA directly implemented the activities under this contribution.

e) If applicable, please highlight other ways in which CERF has added value to the humanitarian response N/A

V. LESSONS LEARNED

TABLE 6: OBSERVATIONS FOR THE CERF SECRETARIAT				
Lessons learned	Lessons learned Suggestion for follow-up/improvement Responsible entity			
NTR				

TABLE 7: OBSERVATIONS FOR COUNTRY TEAMS			
Lessons learned	Suggestion for follow-up/improvement	Responsible entity	
WHO: response can be faster if administrative procedures are initiated early	Administrative preparations should be initiated as soon as the CERF is submitted	WHO	
WHO: sharing updated infromation on Refugee health patterns and needs is crucial to respond adequately	Data on health utilization should be collected in a standard form and shared periodically	HCT and stakeholders	
UNFPA: The consumption rates for RH kits and drugs monitored by UNFPA and its partners indicates the huge demand for RH services on the ground. UNFPA and partners are trying to expand the efforts and utilizing resources to meet	During any prioritization process, RH should be alwalys considered as a priority to ensure the minimmum standards of response are taken into consideration. This involves allocation of funds and human resources to make RH services accessible and available to beneficiaries.	Donors, Humanitarian Agencies, and GoL	

those needs.		
UNFPA:Information which was made by the on going monitoring of service delivery at the agency level was found to be of benefit once shared with other actors involved in the health as well as the protection sector.	Need enhance data collection and information sharing within the country team as well as other stakeholders including the government, international NGOs, and local NGOs.	Country team and stakeholders
UNFPA:Clearing commodities (at sea port and airport) through national authorities is resulting in significant delays given the newly introduced procedures by the Government that are cumbersome and tedious.	It is suggested that the UNCT discusses this matter with the concerned national authorities to ensure unified and smooth procedures in place that would allow speedy action particularly in humanitarian contexts	UNCT
WFP : N/A	Agree terms for provision of assistance to Lebanese Returnees with all stakeholders.	WFP and partners
FAO: N/A	Consider assessment of agricultural livelihood sector in order to improve availability of data / information	FAO, Inter-Agency
IOM: Need for stronger IOM field presence to contribute to improved targeting and M&E	Since the completion of the project, IOM has established sub- offices at the governorate level, ensuring all distributions (whether conducted by IOM staff or through local partners) are more effectively monitored and evaluated. This development has also allowed for more sophisticated beneficiary assessments and identification practices.	IOM
UNICEF: need for multi- sectoral needs assessments that address both host and refugee communities for improved planning	Engage the IM Working Group to streamline multi sectoral assessment tools for improved data collection of vulnerable host communities and refugees	HCT/IM Working Group

VI. PROJECT RESULTS

			TAB	LE 8: PROJ	ECT RESULTS		
CER	F project informati	on					
1. Aç	gency:	UNRWA			5. CERF grant period:	27 December 2012– 26 June 2013	
2. CI	ERF project code:	13-RWA-00	2		C 01-1 - 1 05D5 1	Ongoing	
3. CI	uster/Sector:	Multi-sector			6. Status of CERF grant:	X Concluded	
		Emergency	cash and hea	lth assistance	for Palestine refugees from Syria	a in Lebanon	
6	a. Total project bu	dget:	·	US\$ 50,929,163	d. CERF funds forwarded to imp	plementing partners:	
7.Funding	b. Total funding re			US\$ 19,879,117	■ NGO partners and Red Cross/Crescent: US\$ 0		
	c. Amount received from CERF:			US\$ 1,000,000	Government Partners:	US\$ 0	
Resi	Results						
8. T	otal number of <u>direc</u>	t beneficiaries	planned and	reached throu	ugh CERF funding (provide a brea	akdown by sex and age).	
Direct Beneficiaries Pla			Planned	Reached	In case of significant discrepancy between planned and reached beneficiaries, please describe reasons:		
a. Fe	a. Female		11,000	14,714	N/A		
b. M	b. Male		9,000	13,857			
c. To	c. Total individuals (female + male):		20,000	28,571			
d. Oi	f total, children <u>unde</u>	<u>r</u> age 5	2,400	4,200			
9. O	riginal project object	tive from appr	oved CERF p	roposal			
	Meet emergency ne assistance	eds of up to 2	20,000 Palesti	ne refugee ind	dividuals from Syria into Lebanon	through the provision of cash	
•	Provide additional e Syria into Lebanon				nately 6,729 Palestine refugee ind area.	lividuals who have recently fled	
10.	Original expected ou	itcomes from	approved CE	RF proposal			
•					istance through UNRWA distribut ess to basic healthcare in UNRW		
11.	Actual outcomes acl	nieved with Cl	ERF funds				
•	17,765 PRS received				red food vouchers through UNRW Health Centres	'A distribution points	
					al outcomes, please describe reas	sons:	
The	actual outcome inclu	ides 4,006 PF	RS individuals	that received	food vouchers. This was not in th	e original proposal however	
there	were savings in the	cash compo	nent as not as	s many PRS c	ame forward for assistance as ori emaining balance of funds under	ginally planned. As a result,	

help provide food vouchers to some of the PRS population with the remainder of the PRS population being covered from other

funding sources. Out of a total of 17,762 PRS that received food vouchers, 4,006 were covered through CERF funding.					
13. Are the CERF funded activities part of a CAP project that applied an IASC Gender Marker code?	YES ☐ NO ⊠				
If 'YES', what is the code (0, 1, 2a or 2b): N/A If 'NO' (or if GM score is 1 or 0): Gender equality was mainstreamed as all Palestine refugees from Syria were provided cash assistance and food vouchers no matter if they were women, men, boys or girls. Access to medications in UNRWA Health Centres is also provided equally.					
14. M&E: Has this project been evaluated?	YES NO				
If 'YES', please describe relevant key findings here and attach evaluation reports or provide URL If 'NO', please explain why the project has not been evaluated The project was not officially evaluated, however, a lessons learned exercise was undertaken internally to help inform the subsequent cash distributions. The lessons learned exercise helped better inform provision of assistance in subsequent distributions in particular in relation to vulnerable persons, communication to the beneficiaries, crowd control, site assessments, appeal committees for beneficiaries that have complaints, and roles and responsibilities of UNRWA various staff members.					

			TAB	LE 8: PROJ	ECT RESULTS		
CER	F project informati	on					
1. A	gency:	UNICEF			5. CERF grant period:	31 December 2012 -30 June 2013	
2. Cl	ERF project code:	13-CEF-011			0 014 × 105P5	Ongoing	
3. CI	3. Cluster/Sector: Water and		sanitation		- 6. Status of CERF grant:		
4. Pı	4. Project title: WASH Inte		ventions in N	orth Lebanon	Host Communities		
бı	a. Total project bu	dget:	l	JS\$46,370,0 00	d. CERF funds forwarded to imp	plementing partners:	
7.Funding	b. Total funding re	project:	JS\$21,670,0 00	NGO partners and Red Cros	ss/Crescent: US\$ 0		
	c. Amount receive	d from CERF	: (JS\$ 250,115	■ Government Partners:	US\$ 0	
Res	ults						
8. T	otal number of direc	t beneficiaries	planned and	reached thro	ugh CERF funding (provide a brea	akdown by sex and age).	
Direc	t Beneficiaries		Planned	Reached	In case of significant discrepancy b beneficiaries, please describe reaso	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
a. Fe	emale		4,450	6,622	The planned beneficiaries were based on provision of a		
b. M	b. Male		1,500	7,958	comprehensive package of WASH interventions. The CERF funding was used for the purchase of water filters, which are part of the comprehensive package.		
c. To	c. Total individuals (female + male):		5,950	16,580			
d. O	f total, children <u>unde</u>	e <u>r</u> age 5	1,170	3,283			
9. C	riginal project objec	tive from appr	oved CERF p	roposal			
•	equitable access to Ensure access to be specifically in place Contribute to reduce	a sufficient quasic minimum s with displace the risk of o	uantity of wate requirements ed population utbreak of wate	er for drinking of safe drinki within host co ter-borne dise	ding the refugees and the host cor , cooking and personal hygiene. ing water, water for sanitation and ommunities. eases, such as cholera, measles, a vercrowded areas and in places wi	hygiene, and food preparation	
10.	Original expected or	utcomes from	approved CE	RF proposal	·		
•	preventing the need to seek expensive medical assistance.						
11.	Actual outcomes ac	hieved with Cl	ERF funds				
	ess to clean water im of the wider WASH		gh the provision	on of 3,316 wa	ater filters for 16,580 refugees and	d host community members as	
			between plan	ned and actua	al outcomes, please describe reas	sons:	
The	planned beneficiarie	s were based	on provision	of a compreh	ensive package of WASH interver	tions. The CERF funding was	

used to provide water filters, which are one part of the comprehensive package and which met an urgent need.					
13. Are the CERF funded activities part of a CAP project that applied an IASC Gender Marker code?	YES □ NO □				
If 'YES', what is the code (0, 1, 2a or 2b): N/A If 'NO' (or if GM score is 1 or 0): Gender differences, especially the needs of women and girls, are taken into consideration when designing, delivering and monitoring UNICEF WASH programs, in general. Toilets and washing facilities are being provided at the household level in cases where some community members (especially women and girls) are unable, for cultural or security reasons, to use shared latrines in tented settlements. Hygiene kits distributed to families include feminine hygiene products and men, women, boys and girls are involved in decision making within WASH Committees, assisting in overcoming gender barriers to accessing appropriate WASH services.					
14. M&E: Has this project been evaluated?	YES ☐ NO 🏻				
Since the commencement of this project, UNICEF Lebanon has substantially increased its monitoring and evaluation, through the employment of dedicated WASH field staff in Zahle and Qobayat, as well as through engagement with an external third party monitoring agency.					

	TABLE 8: PROJECT RESULTS							
CER	F project informati	on						
1. Aç	gency:	FAO			5. CERF grant period:	[2013.01.01 – 2013.07.31]		
Cluster/Sector: Cluster/Sector:		13-FAO-005			0 014 × 105P5	☐ Ongoing		
3. Cluster/Sector:		Agriculture			- 6. Status of CERF grant:			
4. Project title:			Livelihood Suuge and their		perable Lebanese Returnees who	fled from Syria to Lebanon		
ing	a. Total project bu	dget:		US\$ 1,305,609	d. CERF funds forwarded to imp	olementing partners:		
7.Funding	b. Total funding re	ceived for the	project:	US\$ 1,200,000	NGO partners and Red Cros	ss/Crescent: US\$ 0		
	c. Amount receive	d from CERF	: (JS\$ 105,609	Government Partners:	US\$ 0		
Resi	Results							
8. T	otal number of <u>direc</u>	t beneficiaries	s planned and	reached thro	ugh CERF funding (provide a brea	akdown by sex and age).		
Direct Beneficiaries			Planned	Reached	In case of significant discrepancy between planned and reached beneficiaries, please describe reasons:			
a. Female			1,170	1,460	N/A			
b. M	ale		1,000	1,936				
c. To	otal individuals (fema	ale + male):	2,170	3,396				
d. Oi	f total, children <u>unde</u>	<u>r</u> age 5	195	180				
9. O	riginal project object	tive from appr	oved CERF p	roposal				
•	returnees who fled into Lebanon from Syria and the host communities in the North of Lebanon, and reduce the socio-economic burden of displacement on both communities							
10.	Original expected ou	itcomes from	approved CE	RF proposal				
•	are currently unmet Enhance family inco refugees, mainly ch	and are resid ome through t ildren and wo	ling in rural ar he sale of dai men	nd underprivile	se returnees" and the host communged geographical areas aben, Labneh) while improving pr			
11. 7	Actual outcomes act	nevea with C	EKF TUNGS					

- Direct project beneficiaries including Lebanese returnees and host community members constitute 1,203 households (average household has 6 individuals of which one is under five), i.e. 7,218 individuals.
- Seven new milk collection centres were established in Akkar, Donnieh and Baalbak and 6 previous milk collection centres upgraded in Baalbak and Hermel.
- A total of 872 stainless steel milk cans were distributed to 580 farmers in Akkar, Bekaa, Donnieh and Minnieh.
- Thirty mini-dairy processing units were distributed to 40 female-headed farmers in Donnieh and are current producing 70 140

 kg dairy products per day depending on the number of collections per day. One hundred and thirty farmers were trained in milk processing and milk handling. 						
12. In case of significant discrepancy between planned and actual outcomes, please describe reasons:						
N/A						
13. Are the CERF funded activities part of a CAP project that applied an IASC Gender Marker code?	YES NO					
If 'YES', what is the code (0, 1, 2a or 2b): N/A If 'NO' (or if GM score is 1 or 0): Direct beneficiaries (distribution of project inputs and supplies) were selected according to the following criteria: A) The main source of income should be from dairy farming; B) Women are sponsors of the family or directly involved in managing and running the farm; C) Presence of handicapped or disabled persons; D) Presence of Syrian refugees hosted by the farmer in residing in the vicinity; E) Small size farms are to be considered; and F) Poor and very poor farmers who lack assets and capital.						
14. M&E: Has this project been evaluated?	YES NO					
The project was a compliment to the on-going dairy programme that will complete its current phase by September 2014 and hence it will be evaluated in its totality at a later stage.						

			TAB	LE 8: PROJ	ECT RESULTS		
CEF	RF project informati	on					
1. A	gency:	UNFPA			5. CERF grant period:	31 January- 30 June 2013	
2. C	ERF project code:	13-FPA-002)			Ongoing	
3. C	luster/Sector:	Health			- 6. Status of CERF grant:		
4. P	roject title:			Reproductive nity in Lebanor	า Health Kits, drugs/Pharmaceutica า	ls and Rapid Information	
a. Total project budget:		dget:	ļ	US\$ 250,000	d. CERF funds forwarded to imp	plementing partners:	
undir	b. Total funding received for the c. Amount received from CER		project:	US\$ 250,000	 NGO partners and Red Cross 	ss/Crescent: US\$ 21.930	
7.F			:	US\$102,533	■ Government Partners:	US\$ 0	
Res	ults						
8. T	otal number of <u>direc</u>	t beneficiaries	s planned and	reached thro	ugh CERF funding (provide a brea	akdown by sex and age).	
Direct Beneficiaries			Planned	Reached	In case of significant discrepancy b beneficiaries, please describe reaso	-	
a. Female			20,000	46,292	A total of 47,792 women and me		
b. Male			1,500	1,500	project which exceeded planned targets. This variance betwee the planned and reached figures is indicative of the actual need on the ground, taken into account the fact that services have improved and RH supplies were made available to a larger		
c. Total individuals (female + male):			21,500	47,792			
d. O	f total, children <u>unde</u>	<u>r</u> age 5	N/A	N/A	number of PHCs than planned.		
9. 0	Original project object	tive from appr	oved CERF p	oroposal			
man prote and com resco	UNFPA has been committed to the well-being of women and young girls at risk during the Syrian crisis and in accordance with its mandate. This commitment is expressed in the RRP4 covering Jan-June 2013 in a set of objectives under both the health and protection sectors and to continue in addressing both. For example, it is important for the UN to address the needs of the refugees and host communities in order to mitigate future conflicts or inequity issues that might arise by providing assistance to one community and forgetting about the host community that is struggling in the same way to provide support and stretching their resources to the limit. For example, UNFPA objectives under the health sector are: Health of the population improved through: 1) improved access to primary health care services including for reproductive health care services, 2) Provision of food supplements for pregnant women (folic acid, Ferrous Sulpate) and procurement and distribution of RH kits.3) Providing health care education to the host and displaced refugee population						
	project hopes to link ent work.	to UNFPA e	fforts in the hu	umanitarian re	sponse plan for the year 2013, to	cover gaps and complement the	
					ntions by reducing mortality and mnese women and girls.	orbidity associated with	
10.	Original expected ou	itcomes from	approved CE	RF proposal			

Outcomes expected from the implementation of this project are:

- 8-10 health centres/clinics in the North, Bekaa and South are supplied with RH Kits to prevent excess morbidity and mortality
- 8-10 health centres/clinics in the North, Bekaa and South are supplied with RH pharmaceuticals to support pregnant and lactating women and to clinically manage incidents of rape.
- 2,000 women and girls from the local community receive awareness raising sessions on sexual and reproductive health issues
- 7,000 women benefit from the RH medication
- 7.000 women benefit from the RH kits
- 1,500 men benefit from the contraceptives
- 400 information leaflets produced targeting medical and paramedical workers in health facilities.
- 4,000 information leaflets produced targeting women and girls beneficiaries

11. Actual outcomes achieved with CERF funds

- 14 health centres/clinics in the North, Bekaa, and South were supplied with 4 types of RH Kits to prevent excess morbidity and mortality and to clinically manage incidents of rape through 9 health service providers.
- 27 health centres/clinics, in the North, Bekaa, and South supplied with 4 types of RH drugs/pharmaceuticals through 3 UNFPA local partner NGOs to support pregnant and lactating women.
- 3,037 women and girls from the local community receive awareness raising sessions on sexual and reproductive health issues
- 46,292 women benefit from RH medication
- 10,675 women benefit from RH kits
- 1,500 men benefit from contraceptives
- 500 information leaflets produced targeting medical and paramedical workers in health facilities on RH issues
- 40,000 information leaflets and awareness raising material on RH produced targeting women and girls beneficiaries

40,000 information leaflets and awareness raising material on RH produced targeting women and girls benef	ciaries					
12. In case of significant discrepancy between planned and actual outcomes, please describe reasons:						
N/A						
13. Are the CERF funded activities part of a CAP project that applied an IASC Gender Marker code?	YES □ NO ⊠					
If 'YES', what is the code (0, 1, 2a or 2b): N/A If 'NO' (or if GM score is 1 or 0):. The main beneficiaries from this project are women and girls and hence the project ensured responding to their needs in terms of services (RH kits, contraceptives and RH drugs) as well as information (i.e. awareness raising on prenatal care, postnatal care, safe deliveries, health eating habits, good hygiene, etc).						
14. M&E: Has this project been evaluated?	YES □ NO ⊠					

Although the project was not evaluated, the UNFPA team continuously monitored both the implementation of the project as well as the situation on the ground at various levels of monitoring as follows: 1) The Field Coordinator (FC) systematically assessed the needs of the health centres in target areas in order to provide them with the required RH kits. The FC regularly visited the health centres to make sure the distributed RH kits are well received and the recipients of the kits are adequately sensitized on the content, purpose and beneficiaries of the Kits. Furthermore, the partners reported on the consumption of the RH kits guided by UNFPA through tools prepared specifically by UNFPA; 2) The RH Program Officer monitored the work of the field cordinator and provided susbtantive technical assistance and support to partners ensuring overall supervision, guidance and production of progress reports; 3) Progress reports and continious communication received by the partners; 4) There was also monitoring from the Logistics side of UNFPA operations, which was responsible for purchasing (both locally and overseas), receiving, inspecting, storing and delivering the goods to the implementing partners in accordance with UNFPA's procurement procedures while documenting the whole process through delivery notes and other logistics tools as well as mentaining easily trackable inventory system in the warehouse; and 5) The overall guidance and advise was ensured by the Assistant Representative of UNFPA in Lebanon.

It should also be noted that the outcome of this project was reviewed by the external evaluation team entrusted to evaluate UNFPA's 3rd country programme (2010-2014). The evaluators concluded – and within the humanitarian response operation - UNFPA's ability to move quickly, identify needs, avail commodities and support implementation of interventions including

awareness raising.

	TABLE 8: PROJECT RESULTS						
CER	F project informati	on					
1. Ag	jency:	IOM			5. CERF grant period:	21 Jan 2013	– 21 Jun 2013
2. CI	ERF project code:	13-IOM-002)			☐ Ongoing	
3. CI	uster/Sector:	NFIs			6. Status of CERF grant:	⊠ Conclude	ed
4. Pr	4. Project title: Emergency		Support for	Vulnerable Leb	anese Returnees who fled from S	Syria to Lebano	n seeking refuge
D	a. Total project budget:		<u> </u>	US\$ 700,000	d. CERF funds forwarded to im	plementing par	tners:
7.Funding	b. Total funding re	•	project:	US\$ 601,926	■ NGO partners and Red Cross/Crescent: US\$ 0		
7.Fu	c. Amount receive	d from CERF	:	US\$ 101,564	■ Government Partners:		US\$ 0
Resu	ults						
8. T	otal number of direc	t beneficiaries	s planned an	d reached thro	ugh CERF funding (provide a brea	akdown by sex	and age).
Direc	t Beneficiaries		Planned	Reached	In case of significant discrepancy b beneficiaries, please describe reas	•	and reached
a. Fe	emale		4,350	3,386	Reports were based on the num	nber of househo	
b. Male 2,900			2,900	3,390	while the number of women, men, boys and girls are here calculated (on the basis of an average of 5.5 individuals per household) according to the latest demographic data collected through the Lebanese returnee registration and profiling exercise. Since the time of project formulation, the demographic make-up of the returnee population appears to have shifted (when comparing the results of this exercise with those of the rapid assessment conducted in May-June 2012).		
c. Total individuals (female + male): 7,			7,250	6,776			
d. Of total, children <u>under</u> age 5			326	677			
9. O	riginal project object	tive from appr	oved CERF	proposal			
То с	ontribute to the hum	anitarian effoi	rt led aimed a	at meeting the	most urgent basic needs of the Le	ebanese returne	ee population.
10.	Original expected ou	utcomes from	approved Cl	ERF proposal			
•	currently unmet and	I residing in g ns of at least 1	eographical a 1,250 Lebane	areas inaccess ese returnee ho	d from the distribution of. NFIs, pa ible to other humanitarian actors. buseholds are enhanced through t fety problems.	•	
11. /	Actual outcomes act	nieved with C	ERF funds				
•	At least 1,232 Lebanese returnee households have benefited from the distribution of winterization/shelter support NFIs, living in areas of the Bekaa and South Lebanon governorates where needs had as yet been unmet due to inaccessibility or a concentration of resources in other areas. The living and the latest and the latest area of the latest area of the latest area.						
12.	n case of significant	t discrepancy	between pla	nned and actua	al outcomes, please describe reas	sons:	
13. /	Are the CERF funde	d activities pa	art of a CAP	project that app	olied an IASC Gender Marker code	e? N?A	YES ☐ NO ⊠

If 'YES', what is the code (0, 1, 2a or 2b): N/A If 'NO' (or if GM score is 1 or 0):	
14. M&E: Has this project been evaluated?	YES □ NO ⊠
As a result of the fact that distributions were largely carried out through community-based deliveries and local authat it proved challenging to fully evaluate the project.	ithorities, meaning

	TABLE 8: PROJECT RESULTS						
CER	CERF project information						
1. Agency: WFP			5. CERF grant period:	23 January –	22 July 2013		
2. CE	RF project code:	13-WFP-00	-003		6 Status of CEDE grant	Ongoing	
3. Cluster/Sector: Food				6. Status of CERF grant:	X Concluded		
4. Pr	oject title:	Emergency	Food Assist	ance to Lebane	ese Returnees from Syria in Lebar	non	
g	a. Total project bu	dget:	.	US\$ 301,205	d. CERF funds forwarded to imp	olementing part	ners:
7.Funding	b. Total funding re	ceived for the	project:	US\$ 301,205	 NGO partners and Red Cros 	ss/Crescent:	US\$ 0
7.Fu	c. Amount receive		US\$ 301,205	·		US\$ 0	
Resu	ılts						
8. To	otal number of <u>direct</u>	t beneficiaries	planned an	d reached throu	ugh CERF funding (provide a brea	akdown by sex a	and age).
Direc	Beneficiaries		Planned	Reached	In case of significant discrepancy b beneficiaries, please describe reaso		and reached
a. Fe	male		4,250	0	The money was returned unspe	nt by WFP.	
b. Ma	ale		4,250	0			
c. To	tal individuals (fema	ıle + male):	8,500	0			
d. Of	total, children <u>unde</u>	<u>r</u> age 5	1,700	0			
9. O	riginal project object	tive from appr	oved CERF	proposal			
	Save lives and mair Help prevent the de				s from Syria in Lebanon ng their livelihoods		
10. (Original expected ou	tcomes from	approved C	ERF proposal			
•	8,500 Lebanese Re Number of vouchers A decreasing trend	s actually rede	eemed	•	chers depending on credit)		
11. /	Actual outcomes ach	nieved with Cl	ERF funds				
Com	mission, within a sui	table timefrar	ne. At the tir	me of reporting,	nable to agree modalities with pa discussions continue and a surve NFP intends to implement this ac	y on the vulner	
12. I	n case of significant	discrepancy	between pla	nned and actua	al outcomes, please describe reas	sons:	
The	noney was returned	l unspent by V	VFP.				
13. /	Are the CERF funde	d activities pa	rt of a CAP	project that app	olied an IASC Gender Marker code	e?	YES NO X
	S', what is the code of ' (or if GM score is 1			as returned un	spent by WFP.		
14. N	1&E: Has this projec	t been evalua	ted?				YES NO X
The	The money was returned unspent by WFP.						

	TABLE 8: PROJECT RESULTS								
CER	F project informati	on							
1. Aç	1. Agency: WHO				5. CERF grant period:	23 Jan- 22 July 2013			
2. CERF project code: 13-WH		13-WHO-00	14		6 Status of CEDE grants	Ongoing			
3. Cluster/Sector: He		Health			6. Status of CERF grant:	x Concluded			
4. Project title: Emergency		Emergency	health suppo	ort to Lebanes	e host communities in the context	of the Syrian Crisis in Le	banon		
бı	a. Total project bu	dget:	<u> </u>	US\$584,111	d. CERF funds forwarded to imp	plementing partners:			
undir	b. Total funding re	project:	US\$584,111	NGO partners and Red Cross/Crescent:					
b. Total funding received for t		d from CERF:	F: US\$151,111		Government Partners:		US\$ 0		
Resi	ults								
8. T	otal number of <u>direc</u>	t beneficiaries	planned and	I reached throu	ugh CERF funding (provide a brea	akdown by sex and age).			
Direct Beneficiaries			Planned	Reached	In case of significant discrepancy between planned and reached beneficiaries, please describe reasons:				
a. Fe	emale		65,000	65,000	The number of children who benefited from the vaccination				
b. M	ale		35,000	35,000	procurement exceeded the number planned by 2000.				
c. Total individuals (female + male): 100,000 10			100,000						
d. Of total, children <u>under</u> age 5			10,000	12,000					
9. C	riginal project object	tive from appr	oved CERF p	proposal					

The overall objective is to ensure sustainability of PHC services to the Host communities in areas with recent high concentration of Syrian refugees.

- Ensure adequate access to medications at PHC
- Ensure quality care and case management at PHC
- 10. Original expected outcomes from approved CERF proposal

Outcome 1. Medications are readily available in PHC centers providing services to DS under contract with Health cluster members Indicators:

- Number of PHC centers receiving medications (target 10 PHC centers)
- Number of beneficiaries receiving needed medications (target 100%)

Outcome 2. quality of clinical health care is improved Indicators:

- Number of training workshops implemented (target: 2 workshops)
- Number of staff trained (target 50)
- 11. Actual outcomes achieved with CERF funds

The CERF funds ensured that medications and vaccines were available to the most vulnerable beneficiaries. Accordingly, the first outcome: "Medications are readily available in PHC centers providing services to DS under contract with Health cluster members" was fully achieved.

WHO was able to provide the following vaccines and medications:

- 12,000 Doses Penta Vaccines, distributed to 10 PHC centers located in the areas with highest concentrations of DS (Akkar and Begaa); they will cover more than 10,000 children
- First line TB drugs for 200 patients (treatment courses of 6 months each) distributed to the 8 Tuberculosis treatment centers across the country, through the National TB program

Therefore, the two indicators were fully reached:

Indicators:

- Number of PHC centers receiving medications (target 10 PHC centers)
- Number of beneficiaries receiving needed medications (target 100%)

As for the second outcome: "Quality of clinical health care is improved ", it was also completed through training and capacity building as follows:

- Training on Epidemiological Surveillance for dispensaries and medical centers in the different regions (7 sessions; 300 persons)
- Measles Surveillance and investigation training for MOPH peripheral teams (2 sessions, 53 persons total number of participants)
- Measles Surveillance for hospitals at mohafaza levels (4 sessions in Nabatieh, South, Mount Lebanon and Beirut and Bekaa, 210 persons in total)
- Leishmania training for doctors (2 sessions one at RHUH and one at AUB for 20 medical doctors)
- Advanced training for two WHO staff on Chemical Biological and nuclear weapons case management
 In this outcome, the target indicator was fully achieved; the number of beneficiaries was exceeded

Indicators:

- Number of training workshops implemented (target: 2 workshops)
- Number of staff trained (target 50)

In addition, the training was coupled with production of Information Education and Communications material that were used in awareness raising and distributed to patients attending the PHC; the following material was produced:

 700,000 Scabies, 250,000 hand hygiene, 10,000 posters, Leishmania and food handling education material, 200 hospital log book leishmania, 1,000 treating books, 1,000 reporting for leishmania, 30,000 leishmania brochures and 300,000 food handling cards.

Note is made that the funds initially planned to purchase IT material were reschuffled to the production of IEC material, due to the emerging need.

Moreover, the project funds allowed the recruitment of a field coordination officer for 6 months.

woreover, the project funds allowed the reciditment of a field coordination officer for o months.	
12. In case of significant discrepancy between planned and actual outcomes, please describe reasons:	
It was originally planned to procure Insulin. However, with the depletion of the Vaccines stocks at the MOPH, and in the number of refugees, funds were used to purchase needed vaccines (penta vaccines)	the rapid increase
13. Are the CERF funded activities part of a CAP project that applied an IASC Gender Marker code?	YES NO
If 'YES', what is the code (0, 1, 2a or 2b): N/A If 'NO' (or if GM score is 1 or 0):	
14. M&E: Has this project been evaluated?	YES NO x
 The project was monitored all through the implementation phase. Monitoring was done as follows: Procurement of vaccines and medications: reports of receipt and utilization Training: direct observation and reports of workshops implementation 	

Evaluation was done internally, no external evaluation was undertaken.

ANNEX 1: CERF FUNDS DISBURSED TO IMPLEMENTING PARTNERS

CERF Project Code	Cluster/ Sector	Agency	Implementing Partner Name	Partner Type	Total CERF Funds Transferred to Partner US\$	Date First Installment Transferred	Start Date of CERF Funded Activities By Partner	Comments/Remarks
13-FPA-002	Health	UNFPA	Young Men Christian Association	NNGO	\$21,930	4-Apr-13	4-Nov-13	Activities included the preparation and implementation of the RH awareness raising campaign

ANNEX 2: ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (Alphabetical)

CERF	Central Emergency Response Fund				
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations				
IEC	Information Education Communication				
IOM	International Organization for Migration				
IT	Information technology				
LRF	Lebanese Recovery Fund				
PHC	Primary Health Care				
RH	Reproductive Heath				
RRP	Regional Response Plan				
PRS	Palestine Refugee from Syria				
SDC	Social Development Centres				
Tb	Tuberculosis				
UNHCR	United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees				
UNICEF	United Nations Childrens' Agency				
UNRWA	United Nations Relief and Works Agency				
WFP	World Food Programme				