United Nations Central Emergency Response Fund

YEAR: 2018

RESIDENT/HUMANITARIAN COORDINATOR REPORT ON THE USE OF CERF FUNDS OCCUPIED PALESTINIAN TERRITORY RAPID RESPONSE DISRUPTION OF BASIC SERVICES 2018

RESIDENT/HUMANITARIAN COORDINATOR

Jamie McGoldrick

	REPORTING PROCESS AND CONSULTATION SUMMARY
a.	Please indicate when the After Action Review (AAR) was conducted and who participated.
The	e AAR was conducted by the recipient agency within itself. Reflections were shared with OCHA, on behalf of the Humanitarian Coordinator, in preparation for this report.
b.	Please confirm that the Resident Coordinator and/or Humanitarian Coordinator (RC/HC) Report on the use of CERF funds was discussed in the Humanitarian and/or UN Country Team.
	YES □ NO ⊠
US dec the Hu to expl Humar to UNF the per Relief	draft report was not shared with the Humanitarian Country Team (HCT) given the exceptional nature of this CERF grant. Since the cision to suspend most of its funding to UNRWA, the Agency has been in regular contact with the humanitarian community led by imanitarian Coordinator, including UNOCHA oPt, the Humanitarian Country Team, and the Inter-Cluster Coordination Group in order lain the impact and identify where other actors could provide support – however possible – to avoid programmatic interruptions. The nitarian Coordinator continues to support UNRWA advocacy, leading the HCT in parallel supportive measures to avoid interruptions RWA support. The HCT and humanitarian partners operating in the oPt have proactively engaged and supported UNRWA throughout riod of this grant. In parallel, high level discussions have been conducted involving UNRWA's Commissioner General, the Emergency Coordinator and other UN principals to highlight the criticality of the situation and the continued need for UNRWA humanitarian ance in oPt.]
C.	Was the final version of the RC/HC Report shared for review with in-country stakeholders (i.e. the CERF recipient agencies and their implementing partners, cluster/sector coordinators and members and relevant government counterparts)?
	YES NO

The draft report was shared with the CERF recipient agency.

<u>PART I</u>

Strategic Statement by the Resident/Humanitarian Coordinator

Through this CERF allocation, UNRWA was able to mitigate the food insecurity of over 600,000 abject poor Palestine Refugees, and averted potential escalations in panic and violence associated with the suspension of services by UNRWA. Mobilizing resources quickly to enable the food security responses was critical in maintaining life-saving food security, therefore avoiding sliding into a situation that would require far greater financial resources to manage. It was also crucial in preventing an abrupt significant scaling down and/or discontinuation of UNRWA responses to the refugee population. Given that refugees comprise some 70 per cent of the population in Gaza and 33 per cent in the West Bank, disruptions to UNRWA services – particularly the life-saving provision of food assistance – would not only negatively impact the well-being of refugees, it could lead to a further destabilization of an already fragile context1. The release of the CERF funding reflected the seriousness of the humanitarian situation in the Gaza Strip and the need for humanitarian action to continue, regardless of political considerations.

1. OVERVIEW

18-RR-PSE-28903 TABLE 1: EMERGENCY ALLOCATION OVERVIEW (US\$)					
a. TOTAL AMOUNT REQUIRED FOR THE HUMANITARIAN RESPONSE	187,881,745				
FUNDING RECEIVED BY SOURCE					
CERF	15,005,129				
COUNTRY-BASED POOLED FUND (if applicable)					
OTHER (bilateral/multilateral)	66,793,172				
b. TOTAL FUNDING RECEIVED FOR THE HUMANITARIAN RESPONSE	US\$ 81,798,301				

18-RR-PSE-28903 TABLE 2: CERF EMERGENCY FUNDING BY PROJECT AND SECTOR (US\$)								
Allocation 1 – date of official submission: 01/03/2018								
Agency	Agency Project code Cluster/Sector Amount							
UNRWA	INRWA 18-RR-RWA-001 Food Security - Food Aid 15,005,129							
TOTAL	TOTAL 15,005,125							

¹ A glimpse at the potential impact of interruptions to UNRWA food operations has already been seen. In the West Bank, at the start of January, the Agency was unable to honour 2,100 three-month cash-for-work contracts for refugees in camps and food voucher payments to 46,000 food insecure refugees outside camps were suspended in the middle of the month. This provoked an outcry from beneficiaries as they abruptly became unable to access urgent assistance. In an atmosphere already rife with tensions and threats, key UNRWA offices were closed repeatedly in the second half of January, and there were demonstrations in and around refugee camps, as needy refugees struggled to meet basic needs, including for food, health care and winter heating costs.

18-RR-PSE-28903 TABLE 3: BREAKDOWN OF CERF FUNDS BY TYPE OF IMPLEME	NTATION MODALITY (US\$)
Total funds implemented directly by UN agencies including procurement of relief goods	15,005,129
- Funds transferred to Government partners*	
- Funds transferred to International NGOs partners*	
- Funds transferred to National NGOs partners*	
- Funds transferred to Red Cross/Red Crescent partners*	
Total funds transferred to implementing partners (IP)*	
TOTAL	15,005,129

^{*} These figures should match with totals in Annex 1.

2. HUMANITARIAN CONTEXT AND NEEDS

Humanitarian Context: The protracted humanitarian crisis in the oPt is a direct result of Israel's ongoing occupation, which has become increasingly fragile over the past 11 years in the context of Hamas's control over the Gaza Strip, the enforcement of the blockade by Israel, recurrent hostilities and escalations in violence, as well as negative developments related to the internal Palestinian divide. This has resulted in needs for life-saving humanitarian responses mainly in the areas of food security, water and sanitation, health and protection. The situation is more acute in Gaza given the recurrent hostilities and the longstanding restrictions on the movement and access of people and goods which along with the severe aggravation of the electricity crisis since June 2017, have exacerbated pre-existing vulnerabilities and led to unprecedented levels of unemployment, poverty and hence food insecurity, and taken a toll on the availability and quality of basic essential services.

Cause of the crisis: UNRWA was prompted to request CERF RR funds (CERF RR) in the context of the US government's series of unilateral political and financial decisions which involved an unforeseen massive deduction in financial support to UNRWA. UNRWA is the single largest humanitarian partner in the oPt. Its life-saving activities amount to US\$ 286 million: 53 per cent of the total requirements in the 2018 HRP and 65 per cent of the 2018 HRP food security sector requirements. The US government was customarily the largest single donor of UNRWA. In the midst of rising numbers of the food insecure in Gaza and ongoing needs in the West Bank, particularly in the area of food security, UNRWA's responses were dramatically curtailed, and given UNRWA's unique mandate², the scaling back and recalibration of UNRWAs operations were being met with increased protests and unrest amongst the Palestinian population, which carried a real risk of threating the stability in the oPt.

Affected population: Some 1.6 out of 2.5 million Palestinians in need across the oPt are estimated to be food insecure. Approximately 50 per cent of the Gaza population suffers from more than one micronutrient deficiency, with 72 and 64 per cent of female adolescents suffering from deficiencies in Vitamins D and A respectively.³ According to the Health Cluster, approximately 140,000 children under five in Gaza are suffering from chronic malnutrition and stunting.⁴ Furthermore, the deteriorated economic and food security situation has manifested itself in increasing number of medical consultations at UNRWA health centers since mid-2017 and serious repercussions on the psychosocial well-being of Palestine refugees in Gaza.

Based on statistics showing that Palestine Refugees are disproportionately vulnerable to poverty, unemployment⁵, and hence, food insecurity UNRWAs activities, which form 65% of the total 2018 food sector response requirements, target registered Palestine Refugees. In Gaza, the number of Palestine refugees requiring food assistance has been continuously increasing, from over 866,000 in 2014 to over 1.09 million in Q3 2017. As of end-2017, in Gaza, 41 per cent of Palestine refugees were considered moderately to severely food insecure, as compared to around 36 per cent of non-refugee households.

² United Nations General Assembly Resolution 302 (IV) of 8 December 1949.

³ Ministry of Health, Palestinian Micronutrient Survey, 2014.

⁴ Health Cluster, quarterly monitoring, as of November 2017.

⁵ In Q3 2017, unemployment levels stood at 47 per cent, compared to 45 per cent amongst non-refugees in Gaza. Linked to this are increasing poverty levels, with some 76.6 per cent of refugees in Gaza assessed as poor in 2017 (compared to 61.2 per cent in 2015). The number of abject poor has also increased in recent months, rising from 53 per cent in 2017 to 57 per cent in 2018.

In the West Bank unemployment rates in the third quarter of 2017 amongst refugees stood at 22.7 percent compared to 19.2 percent amongst non-refugees. Women, in particular female-headed households, are extremely vulnerable, as they face limited access to the labour market due to a combination of limited opportunities and cultural norms inhibiting women's participation to the labour market. In the West Bank, including East Jerusalem these challenges are particularly acute for the nearly 250,000 Palestine Refugees residing in one of the 19 refugee camps.

Humanitarian Consequences and need for CERF funding: Mobilizing resources quickly to enable the food security and cash for work (CfW) responses was critical in maintaining life-saving food security for 636,824 Palestine Refugees, hence avoiding sliding into a situation that would require far greater financial resources to manage. It was also crucial in preventing an abrupt significant scaling down and/or discontinuation of its key responses to the Palestine Refugee population comprising some 70 per cent of the population in Gaza and 33 per cent in the West Bank, and which carried the threat of adopting negative coping mechanisms and leading to widespread panic and violence amongst the population, further destabilizing the oPt⁶. The release of the CERF RR funding reflected the seriousness of the humanitarian situation in the Gaza Strip and need for the international community and its donors to support the ongoing fundraising campaign for the response.

3. PRIORITIZATION PROCESS

The overall objective of this CERF allocation was to provide life-saving food security assistance to 560,430 vulnerable Palestine Refugees in Gaza and 58,600 in the West Bank, with women representing 50 per cent of targeted beneficiaries in both locations. which was identified as a priority area in alignment with 2018 HRP that could not be met due to the unanticipated shortfall in pledged funding in January 2018. This CERF rapid response submission comes with the endorsement of the HC, in order to address urgent humanitarian needs and promote early action, preventing an increased suffering to an already vulnerable population and avoiding an escalation in tensions that may even turn into violent conflict.

In Gaza, UNRWA provides quarterly food parcels to some 925,000 food insecure refugees, including 460,440 women and 19,566 female-headed households, who would otherwise be unable to meet their basic food needs. Of this overall number of food insecure refugees to whom UNRWA aims at delivering life-saving assistance in Gaza, 560,430 were targeted through this CERF request. In the West Bank, UNRWA provides food security interventions to 94,000 Palestine refugees, including through food vouchers, CfW and in-kind food distributions (in partnership with WFP). Shortfalls in pledged funding in January 2018 caused interruptions in these programmes in January, however, UNRWA was able to resume in late January 2018 by reprogramming funding from other activities. However, funding was not available to continue either intervention beyond February. Six-months of funding for UNRWA's portion (logistics) of the in-kind food intervention was secured from the oPt Country-Based Pooled Fund ("oPt Humanitarian Fund") in February 2018. The remaining activities – food voucher and cashfor-work – were targeted through this CERF request, covering 58,600 refugees inside and outside camps. This include 46,000 recipients of food vouchers, including 13,800 children under 18, and 12,600 CfW beneficiaries and their families, including 3,780 under 18. A further 36,000 refugees in subsequent quarters of the year will also be affected (i.e. 6,000 CfW beneficiaries and their families).

All activities were designed to target food insecure refugees in the oPt. The range of modalities (food distribution, CfW, vouchers) reflects differentiated operating conditions and the impact of donor policies in the West Bank and Gaza Strip. These manifest themselves most clearly in relation to restrictions on the use of cash in Gaza, which have prevented UNRWA from moving away from direct food delivery there. In the West Bank, UNRWA uses CfW programming inside camps and food vouchers outside camps, to meet the food security needs of vulnerable refugees.

The overall CERF strategy was developed through recognition of the critical and direct impact that food security activities have on the beneficiary. In the face of deteriorating poverty, unemployment and food security the targeted beneficiaries have no other

⁶ A glimpse at the potential impact of interruptions to UNRWA food operations has already been seen. In the West Bank, at the start of January, the Agency was unable to honour 2,100 three-month cash-for-work contracts for refugees in camps and food voucher payments to 46,000 food insecure refugees outside camps were suspended in the middle of the month. This provoked an outcry from beneficiaries as they abruptly became unable to access urgent assistance. In an atmosphere already rife with tensions and threats, key UNRWA offices were closed repeatedly in the second half of January, and there were demonstrations in and around refugee camps, as needy refugees struggled to meet basic needs, including for food, health care and winter heating costs.

means of survival other than the humanitarian assistance provided by UNRWA. These activities have also been prioritized for the overarching impact that they have on stabilizing the context. Finally, these activities have been prioritized for their contribution towards advancing gender equality and youth empowerment.

Since the US decision to suspend funding for UNRWA's emergency operations the agency has been in regular contact with the humanitarian community led by the Humanitarian Coordinator, including UNOCHA oPt, the Humanitarian Country Team, and the Inter-Cluster Coordination Group in order to understand the potential impact and help provide support – however possible – to avoid programmatic interruptions. On 5 February 2018, the acting Humanitarian Coordinator for the oPt wrote a letter to the Emergency Relief Coordinator to express concern about the dramatic reduction in funding to UNRWA, as the only provider of large-scale critical humanitarian services for vulnerable Palestine refugees in the oPt, and about the wide-ranging impact that any interruptions would have on humanitarian needs – including food insecurity rates – in the West Bank and Gaza. The HC expressed concern to the ERC that such interruptions would ultimately pose a threat to the stability of an already fragile region. This opinion is broadly shared by the HCT and humanitarian partners operating in the oPt. In parallel, high level discussions have been conducted involving UNRWA's Commissioner General, the Emergency Relief Coordinator and other UN principals to highlight the criticality of the situation and the continued need for UNRWA humanitarian assistance in oPt.

4. CERF RESULTS

CERF allocated \$15 million to the occupied Palestinian territories from its rapid response window to address the unforeseen and massive shortfall in funding towards food insecure Palestinians in 2018. This funding targeted Palestine Refugees who are the most vulnerable segment of the population by enabling UNRWA to provide life-saving food security related responses to a total of 636,824 people.

5. PEOPLE REACHED

Through CERF funds UNRWA was able to reach a total of 636,824 Palestine Refugees beneficiaries across the oPt, including 56,450 people in the West Bank and 580,374 people in Gaza. This reached beneficiary figures are 3.4 per cent more than the planned beneficiary target, this was possible due to favourable changes in the price of food commodity prices.

The funds were used for life-saving food security related responses, including providing electronic food vouchers for 45,360 people (7,630 households) residing outside the 19 Refugee Camps in the West Bank, with female-headed households representing 18 per cent of the total number of households reached; CfW support to 11,090 people (2,068 households) in 19 Refugee Camps in the West Bank; and in kind food assistance to 580,347 people in Gaza.

Eligibility for emergency food assistance is determined through a poverty assessment survey (Proxy Means Test Formula), conducted through home visits by UNRWA social workers. This system allows to capture essential household characteristics such as age, gender, housing conditions, household compositions, asset ownership and employment status.

The system also takes into account specific vulnerable categories such as female headed households, persons with disability, and people assessed as experiencing multiple vulnerability factors, including protection threats. The proxi-means test formula for the West Bank gives special weight to the highlighted categories that have been prioritized for UNRWA food security interventions in the oPt.

There is no overlap in the number of beneficiaries reached through food vouchers and CfW interventions as the two modalities were employed in different geographical areas to ensure the maximum number of vulnerable food insecure families was reached. Furthermore, in order to avoid duplications in the provision of the service, UNRWA maintains regular coordination with other relevant partners in the oPt, such as the Ministry of Social Development and WFP. The Agency is also an active member of the Food Security Cluster.

18-RR-PSE-28903 TABLE 4: NUMBER OF PEOPLE DIRECTLY ASSISTED WITH CERF FUNDING BY SECTOR ¹									
0, 1, 10, 1	Female			Male			Total		
Cluster/Sector	Girls (< 18)	Women (≥ 18)	Total	Boys (< 18)	Men (≥ 18)	Total	Children (< 18)	Adults (≥ 18)	Total
Food Security - Food Aid	152,170	164,901	317,071	153,730	166,023	319,753	290,114	330,924	636,824

¹ Best estimate of the number of individuals (girls, women, boys, and men) directly supported through CERF funding by cluster/sector.

18-RR-PSE-28903 TABLE 5: TOTAL NUMBER OF PEOPLE DIRECTLY ASSISTED WITH CERF FUNDING ²									
	Female Male Total								
	Girls (< 18)	Women (≥ 18)	Total	Boys (< 18)	Men (≥ 18)	Total	Children (< 18)	Adults (≥ 18)	Total
Planned	143,290	166,225	309,515	143,290	166,225	309,515	286,580	332,450	619,030
Reached	152,170	164,901	317,071	153,730	166,023	319,753	305,900	330,924	636,824

² Best estimate of the total number of individuals (girls, women, boys, and men) directly supported through CERF funding This should, as best possible, exclude significant overlaps and double counting between the sectors.

18-RR-PSE-28903 TABLE 6: PEOPLE DIRECTLY ASSISTED WITH CERF FUNDING BY CATEGORY							
Category	Number of people (Planned)	Number of people (Reached)					
Refugees	619,030	636,824					
IDPs							
Host population							
Affected people (none of the above)							
Total (same as in table 5)	619,030	636,824					

6. CERF's ADDED VALUE

a) Did CERF funds lead to a <u>fast delivery</u> YES 🖂	of assistance to people in need? PARTIALLY	NO 🗌
	ruary by the RC/HC, receiving official approval uick disbursement allowed UNRWA to maintain tion in emergency services.	
to cover the totality of needs and was destined	n Fund was disbursed slightly before CERF RR d to support interventions in the West Bank only s available in the same short timeframe and in a Bank and Gaza.	y. At the time of the drafting and submission
b) Did CERF funds help respond to <u>time</u> - YES ⊠	-critical needs? PARTIALLY	NO 🗌
out in the context of the temporary suspensior risk of disrupting the procurement and delivery Bank who rely on CfW and food vouchers provible Palestinian population leading to escalati	cedented funding shortfall began to materialized nepending funding- of the CfW and food vouched of life saving food assistance to 580,374 peopological by UNRWA, as well as rapidly escalating the one and the destabilizing of the oPt and possible critical to the calming of the situation, and and vulnerable.	er activities. These developments carried the ble in Gaza and to 56,450 people in the West the wide spread of panic and alarm amongst bly the region. The timely release of CERF
c) Did CERF improve coordination amon	gst the humanitarian community?	
YES 🖂	PARTIALLY 🗌	NO 🗌
and supported a smooth process. UNRWA hat the protracted crisis and has a well establishe regular coordination with the humanitarian coordinated solution to avoid any interruption Country Team and the Humanitarian Coordi relevant clusters, including the food security the oPt. Throughout the reporting period, UN partners on the implementation of its activities	and thus improved, the existing coordination process led the humanitarian responses in respect to decoordination process as well as operative cap community to understand the potential impact in the provision of services. The CERF RR subsinator. The technical aspects of UNRWA intercluster, and were formulated in line with the HRWA participated in a number of coordination. In parallel and throughout the year, UNRWA contact the provision of the pr	o Palestine Refugees since the beginning of pabilities. Furthermore, UNRWA maintained of the critical funding shortfall and seek a mission was supported by the Humanitarian rventions were shared and discussed with CT Humanitarian Response Plan (HRP) for meetings, providing updates to donors and ontinued to be an active member of the HCT
d) Did CERF funds help <u>improve resourc</u>	e mobilization from other sources?	
YES 🖂	PARTIALLY 🗌	NO 🗌
engagement with donors; and extraordinary emergency operations in the oPt contributed t	fundraising efforts, including through a global pledging conferences. The prompt and genero o highlighting the critical situation of Palestine r for sustained and needs-based humanitarian	ous support provided by CERF to UNRWA refugees; and served as a key advocacy tool
e) If applicable, please highlight other wa	ays in which CERF has added value to the h	numanitarian response

CERF RR funds prevented a critical gap in humanitarian operations and avoided further destabilization. Vulnerable Palestine refugees targeted by UNRWA in the West Bank and Gaza, have no other means of meeting their basic needs other than the humanitarian assistance provided by the Agency. At the beginning of 2018, when the financial shortfall put at risk the continuity of UNRWA humanitarian activities in the oPt, the Agency consulted with other stakeholders in the West Bank and Gaza, to avoid a critical disruption in the provision of services. However, no other Agency or partner in that moment counted on additional financial and operational resources to quickly step up their operation, and ensure coverage of the same number of beneficiaries normally reached through UNRWA food security assistance.

7. LESSONS LEARNED

TABLE 6: OBSERVATIONS FOR THE CERF SECRETARIAT							
Lessons learned	Suggestion for follow-up/improvement						
Early engagement and coordination with the HC, HCT, OCHA and CERF Secretariat proved essential to ensure an effective prioritization for CERF funding and a smooth application process.	Maintain early engagement and communications with relevant stakeholders in country and at HQ level						
The time between the submission of the application and the disbursement was reasonable and allowed operations to continue.							
The provision of CERF RR contribution in one allotment avoided the disruption in the implementation of the activities and contributed to meet the project deadlines.							

TABLE 7: OBSERVATIONS FOR COUNTRY TEAMS						
Lessons learned	Suggestion for follow-up/improvement	Responsible entity				
The process was straightforward and timely. Coordination with the RC/HC and OCHA proved very effective and supportive. The interventions proposed by UNRWA for CERF RR contributions were in line with the HRP and clusters' priorities.	As the process was smooth and effective we have no suggestion for this section.	N/A				

PART II

8. PROJECT REPORTS (UNRWA)

8.1. Project Report 18-RR-RWA-001 - UNRWA

1. Proj	ect information					
1. Agenc	y:	UNRWA	2. Country:	occupied Palestinian territory		
3. Cluste	r/Sector:	Food Security - Food Aid	4. Project code (CERF):	18-RR-RWA-001		
5. Project title:		Life-saving food security assistance	for vulnerable Palestine refugees i	n Gaza and West Bank		
6.a Origin	nal Start date:	01/02/2018	6.b Original End date	31/07/2018		
6.c. No-cost Extension		⊠ No ☐ Yes	if yes, specify revised end date:	[Fill in DD.MM.YY]		
6.d Were all activities concluded by the end date (including NCE date) No Yes (if not, please explain in section				12)		
(including	a. Total requiren	US\$ 187,881,745				
	b. Total funding	received for agency's sector resp	onse to current emergency:	US\$ 93,247,644		
	c. Amount receiv	ved from CERF:	ERF: US\$ 15,0			
7. Funding	of which to:	GOs	rtners	US\$ 0		

2. Project Results Summary/Overall Performance

Through the release of CERF RR funds, UNRWA was able to reach a total of 636,824 acute food insecure Palestine Refugee beneficiaries across the oPt, including 56,450 people in the West Bank and 580,374 people in Gaza. Beneficiaries were selected through the Agency Proxy Means Testing Formula (PMTF) which measures household income and expenditure characteristics to identify families most in need.

The funds were used for life-saving food security related responses, including providing electronic food vouchers for 45,360 people (7,630 households) residing outside the 19 Refugee Camps in the West Bank, with female-headed households representing 18 per cent of the total number of households reached; CfW support to 11,090 people (2,068 households) in 19 Refugee Camps in the West Bank; and in kind food assistance to 580,347 people in Gaza.

Through this CERF fund, UNRWA was able to mitigate the food insecurity of 636,824 abject poor Palestine Refugees, and averted potential escalations in panic and violence associated with the suspension of services by UNRWA.

3. Changes and Amendments

The interventions funded through the CERF RR window were carried out in the expected timeframe and according to plan. In Gaza, the number of beneficiaries was overachieved by 3.4 per cent due to a decline in food commodity prices which allowed additional commodities to be purchased.

UNRWA emergency activities in oPt have remained underfunded throughout the year. This has forced the agency to implement a number of changes, prioritizing the provision of life-saving assistance to the most vulnerable Palestine Refugees over the delivery of other agency's services, which have been altered or discontinued in the second half of the year.

4. People Reached									
4a. Number of people direct	ctly assisted v	vith cerf fu	nding by ag	je group an	d sex				
		Female			Male		Total		
	Girls (< 18)	Women (≥ 18)	Total	Boys (< 18)	Men (≥ 18)	Total	Children (< 18)	Adults (≥ 18)	Total
Planned	143,290	166,225	309,515	143,290	166,225	309,515	286,580	332,450	619,030
Reached	152,170	164,901	317,071	153,730	166,023	319,753	305,900	330,924	636,824
4b. Number of people direct	ctly assisted	with cerf fu	nding by ca	ategory					
Category		Numbe	Number of people (Planned) Number of people (R			Reached)			
Refugees			619,030			636,824			
IDPs									
Host population									
Affected people (none of the	above)								
Total (same as in 4a)					619,030				636,824
In case of significant discrep planned and reached benefic the total numbers or the age category distribution, please reasons:	the estir sizes re- In respe decided of the pi	nated family ached during ect to the Cf to discontin rogram.	size of six mg the implem W related figue their confi	nembers dur lentation pha gures, the v tract under t of some foo	ing the plan ase which v ariation is o he CFW du	per cent) is d nning phase, vas slightly lo due to a nun te to the unce ties allowed	and the act ower. ober of laborertainity of the	ual familiy urers who ne funding	

5. CERF Result Framework

Project objective

Provide life-saving food security assistance to 560,430 vulnerable Palestine refugees in Gaza; and to 58,600 vulnerable Palestine refugees in the West Bank.

Output 1	Output 1 Food-insecure refugee households in the West Bank are provided with the means to meet their most basic foor requirements.			meet their most basic food
Indicators	Description	Target	Achieved	Source of verification
Indicator 1.1	Number of food-insecure refugees receiving food voucher assistance	7,666 HHs (46,0 refugees in total. N 23,000; female: 23 13,800 hereof a children).	Male: refugees in total. Male 22,680; female:	(result based monitoring
Indicator 1.2	Number of food-insecure female headed households receiving food voucher assistance	1,457 (19% of the target)	total 1,457 (17.78% of the total target)	UNRWA quarterly reports and monthly database (result based monitoring system)
Explanation of output and indicators variance:		The small variance is due to the identification of beneficiaries through the PMTF system which ranks the vulnerability from one household to another leading to the difference in the planned target. In the West Bank, the slight variation in the target (3.6 per cent) is due to the difference in the estimated family size of six members during the planning phase, and the actual famility sizes reached during the implementation phase which was slightly lower. In respect to the CfW related figures, the variation is due to a number of labourers who decided to discontinue their contract under the CFW due to the uncertainity of the funding of the program.		
Activities	Description		Implemented by	
Activity 1.1	Identifying food insecure refugees living outside refugee camps in need of electronic food vouchers according to UNRWA criteria			
Activity 1.2	vity 1.2 Distribution of food vouchers and monthly cash transfers to electronic food vouchers		UNRWA	
Activity 1.3	Monitoring and post-distribution follow-up		UNRWA	

Output 2	Food insecure refugees living inside refugee camps in the West Bank earn short-term wages to cover their basic food needs			
Indicators	Description	Target	Achieved	Source of verification
Indicator 2.1	Number of food-insecure refugee households engaged in CfW	2,100	2,068	UNRWA quarterly reports and monthly database (result based monitoring system RBM)
Indicator 2.2	Total number of food insecure refugees benefiting from CfW assistance	12,600 (male: 6,300; female: 6,300)	12,408 (male: 6,204; female: 6,204)	UNRWA quarterly reports and monthly database (result based monitoring system RBM)

Indicator 2.3	Percentage of women hired under the CfW programme	35% (735 wome	en)	49% (1,013 female)	UNRWA quarterly reports and monthly database (result based monitoring system RBM)
Indicator 2.4	Percentage of youth hired under the CfW programme	12% (252 youth) 1 of total number of p hired		12.48% (258 youth) is of total number of people hired	UNRWA quarterly reports and monthly database (result based monitoring system RBM)
Explanation of output and indicators variance: In the West Bank, difference in the ephase, and the adwhich was slightly In respect to the labourers who decuncertainity of the explanation of the explana		the slight variation in the target (3.6 per cent) is due to the stimated family size of six members during the planning tual familiy sizes reached during the implementation phase			
Activities	Description		Implemented by		
Activity 2.1	Identifying food insecure refugee households in need of CfW support according to UNRWA criteria		UNRWA		
Activity 2.2	Signing contracts with beneficiaries of the CfW opportunities		UNRWA		
Activity 2.3	Defining appropriate CfW opportunities for beneficiaries		UNRWA		
Activity 2.4	Monitoring and post-distribution follow-up		UNRWA		

Output 3	Vulnerable refugee households in Gaza are able to meet their most basic food requirements				
Indicators	Description	Target		Achieved	Source of verification
Indicator 3.1	Number of refugees living beneath the abject-poverty line of US\$ 1.74 per person per day who receive emergency food assistance	560,430 (280,215 280,215 femal		580,374 (291,528 male; 288,846 female)	UNRWA records and systems (RSSP quarterly indicators/ reports)
Indicator 3.2	Percentage of caloric needs for the abject poor that are met through food distributions	80%		80%	UNRWA records and systems (RSSP quarterly indicators/ reports)
		epends on variation in commodity prices which enabled I the initially planned target			
Activities	Description		Implemented by		
Activity 3.1	Identification of eligible families		UNRW	IRWA- Relief and Social Services Programme (RSSP)	
Activity 3.2	Purchasing, delivery (by the supplier) and preparation of food commodities. A portion of the commodities has already been procured using an advance against the US pledge (approximately \$6m). The remainder will be used to cover the costs of additional flour, rice and milk.		in coo		

,	Distribution of food commodities to beneficiaries through UNRWA- Relief and Social Services Programme (RSSP) UNRWA's Distribution Centres (distribution costs covered
	through other sources of funding)

6. Accountability to Affected People

The feedback and lessons learned from the affected population gained from UNRWA's implementation of similar projects in previous years in the oPt has continued to be an integral component to informing the design phase of the projects.

During the implementation phase UNRWA ensured that refugees had direct and regular access to UNRWA staff through its extensive network of area offices, camp services offices, schools, health centres and other installations. In the West Bank, a communication plan with camp service committees was implemented through the West Bank Field Office senior management. UNRWA also operated a hotline service for beneficiaries of its food voucher programme outside camps, with an operator receiving and responding to complaints and requests for changes. The hotline contact details were visibly displayed in each shop that was a part of the electronic food voucher programme. Concerns around the CfW programme were managed directly through Camp Service Offices within refugee camps, with complaints or concerns around targeting handled by a specific committee. In the Gaza Strip a Communication with Communities approach was adopted and provided Palestine Refugees with enhanced access to information and for enabling their voices to be accounted for in decision-making processes related to the provision of humanitarian services. Complaints boxes are available in the majority of UNRWA installations, as a tool to provide feedback to the Agency. UNRWA has developed a communication platform web portal in Arabic to inform beneficiaries of any developments regarding services and operations.

UNRWA has its own monitoring system (results based monitoring system- RBM) to measure and ensure its programs are achieved as planned. This comes alongside conducting regular field visits to shops of the food voucher program as well as the working sites of the CfW labourers to make sure that activities are implemented as planned, and having consultations with the communities/camps as part of the monitoring and follow-up to the Agency food security programmes. Monitoring activities were also part of the UNRWA- WFP partnership for the electronic food voucher programme.

UNRWA's monitoring and evaluation unit has also been involved in monitoring the distribution at UNRWA distribution centres in Gaza and was able to conduct a survey with a representative sample of beneficiaries regarding the quality of items, attitudes of front line staff, effectiveness, and their general satisfaction. Almost all of the interviewed beneficiaries expressed their satisfaction with the food distribution.

7. Cash-Based Interventions			
7.a Did the project include one or more Cash Based Intervention(s) (CBI)?			
Planned Actual			
Yes, CBI is a component of the CERF project Yes, CBI is a component of the CERF project			
7 b. Diagon and if the least the group of the CDI and differ in and if more than any modelity was used in the angiest along			

7.b Please specify below the parameters of the CBI modality/ies used. If more than one modality was used in the project, please complete separate rows for each modality. Please indicate the estimated **value of cash** that was transferred to people assisted through each modality (best estimate of the value of cash and/or vouchers, not including associated delivery costs). Please refer to the guidance and examples above.

CBI modality	Value of cash (US\$)	a. Objective	b. Conditionality	c. Restriction
Cash	US\$ 1,730,143	Multi-purpose cash	Conditional	Unrestricted
Vouchers	US\$ 1,107,172	Sector-specific	Unconditional	Restricted
	US\$ [insert amount]	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
	US\$ [insert amount]	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
	US\$ [insert amount]	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.

Supplementary information (optional)

The range of modalities in the provision of assistance (food distribution, CfW, vouchers) reflects differentiated operating conditions and some donor policies in the West Bank and Gaza Strip. These manifest themselves most clearly in relation to restrictions on the use of cash in Gaza, which have prevented UNRWA from moving away from direct food delivery there. In the West Bank, UNRWA uses CfW programming inside camps and food vouchers outside camps, to meet the food security needs of vulnerable refugees.

Under the Food Voucher Programme in the West Bank, UNRWA has a partnership with the World Food Programme based on a memorandum of understanding signed between both parties. UNRWA transfers the funds required monthly to WFP to cover the electronic benefits of the voucher cards. UNRWA maintains continuous coordination with the Food Security Sector and has a close coordination with the Ministry of Social Development in the West Bank. UNRWA participates in the monthly Food Security Cluster meetings and shares updates. UNRWA reports on achievements and received contributions through the FTS and as part of the HRP mechanism.

8. Evaluation: Has this project been evaluated or is an evaluation pending?				
Activities implemented through CERF funding are regularly monitored through the Agency	EVALUATION CARRIED OUT			
Results-Based Monitoring (RBM) system, and therefore, no specific evaluation for the CERF funded interventions is necessary. Furthermore, activities supported by CERF RR grant are	EVALUATION PENDING			
also reported against as part of the 2018 oPt emergency Appeal Progress report, which the Agency plans to issue in November 2018.	NO EVALUATION PLANNED 🖂			

ANNEX 3: ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (Alphabetical)

CERF RR	CERF Rapid Response
CFW	Cash for work
HCT	Humanitarian Country Team
HF	Humanitarian Fund
HNO	Humanitarian Needs Overview
HRP	Humanitarian Response Plan
oPt	Occupied Palestinian territory
UNRWA	United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East